UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,825	09/20/2005	Murray Edward Bruce Leighton	THOM-0039	6842
	7590 07/02/200 WASHBURN LLP	8	EXAMINER	
CIRA CENTRE	E, 12TH FLOOR		PASCUA, JES F	
2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3782	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/522,825	LEIGHTON, MURRAY EDWARD BRUCE		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jes F. Pascua	3782		

	Jes F. Pascua	3782				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 June 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods:	the same day as filing a Notice of replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
 a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1		FIRST REPLY WAS FII	_ED WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor			cause			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beto		ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for			
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a continuous contin		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> (See 37 CFR 1.1)	* **	maliant Amandmant (DTOL 224)			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		impliant Amendment (i	-10L-324).			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
 7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation on how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>15</u> . Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.4 and 5</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>6-12</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	t before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will not	he entered			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/Jes F. Pascua/					
	Primary Examiner, Art L	Jnit 3782				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises the issue of new matter because the proposed amendemnt is not supported by the specification as originally filed. The proposed amendment fails reduce the issues for appeal because it raises 35 USC 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph issues. The specification fails to provide an adequate written description of the wall portions being directly sealed to each other and the claims fail to recite sufficient structure to permit the wall portions to be directly sealed to each other